LEGAL DEPT.

## RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

NOV 2 2 2004

## Practitioner's Docket No. MP198-021DV3M

PATENT

**2006** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re application of: | Tartaglia, Louis Anthony, et al                        |            |               |
|-----------------------|--|------------|---------------|
| Application No.:      | 09/981947  | Group No.: | 1635          |
| Filed:                | October 18, 2001                                       |            | MCGARRY, SEAN |
| For:                  | NUCLEIC ACID MOLECULES ENCODING GLUTX AND USES THEREOF |            |               |

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Sir. In response to the Office action of September 17, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.

| CERTIFICATION UNDER 3 | C.F.R. SECTIONS | 1.8(n) and 1.10° |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:
MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.10\*

0 with sufficient postage as first class mail. as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"

Mailing Label No.

TRANSMISSION transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, 703-872-9306

Signature Kerri Pollard Schray

Date November 22, 2004

(type or print aware of person certifying)

\*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Moil" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing, 37 C.F.R. section 1.10(b). "Since the filing of correspondence under section 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be grunted on petition." Natice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,489, at 36,442.

PAGE 618 \* RCVD AT 11722/2004 6:13:13 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/1 \* DNIS:8728306 \* CSID:617 374 0074 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-24